

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,941	02/05/2004	John Zorbas	35332.14.1	6057
22859	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 4000			3634	
MINNEAPOL	LIS, MN 55402		DATE MAIL ED: 10/20/200	۲

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,941	ZORBAS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blair M. Johnson	3634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1 and 4-24 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1 and 4-13 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14,15 and 17-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

1.10

Application/Control Number: 10/772,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19, the scope of the claim is ambiguous. It is noted that independent claims 1 and 14 are directed to the flat article as it exists in the first position but that is <u>capable</u> of being broken and arranged in the second position. All recitations directed to the second position are purely functional and are met, as discussed below, if the prior art is capable of being broken and reformed. However, claim 19 appears to actually recite that the assembly is in the second position. Consequently, the claim recites an assembly that is in two different arrangements in a single claim. This can only be done in the form of a method claim, such as claim 12. Additionally, there is no antecedent basis for "the V-shaped groove" and "potion" should be "portion".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,5,11,14,18 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Santoro.

See Fig. 7 and the discussion thereof beginning in column 4, line 61. The base panel is capable of having the ends thereof cut off at frangible sections 60 and the ends thereof reattached. See fabric 26.

Claims 1,2,4,5,8-11,14,15,17 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Smiley et al.

The middle portion comprising elements 74 are the intermediate portion and the portions adjacent thereto are the end portions that can be broken off via frangible elements 74 and reattached. See channel 76. Each end portion may comprise at least two sections 74, thereby being adjustable themselves. The support member 102 has two telescoping elements.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1,4-11,12 and 13 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As discussed above in the 112 rejection, only the flat article, as in the first position, is being claimed that is capable of being broken, etc. Even though the portions of element

58 in Santoro that are to be removed are not intended to be reattached as end pieces, the structure is capable of allowing such. Similarly, using the middle segments 74 as the intermediate portion and the segments 74 adjacent thereto as the end portions meets the claims even though such is not intended by Smiley et al.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 10/17/05